IDENTAPPROVED FOR Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1 component responsible for exit interview briefings of staff and contract internal personnel.

	ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 1 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
	EVALUATION: DATE:
	What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
25	5X1A 30 May 72
	Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
	Agency employees, Office of Personnel, and Office of Security
	Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes NoX II so, how?
	Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No_X If so, where and why?
	Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why?
	Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No_X If so, what?
	Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?
	Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No_X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION FOR Release 2000/09/02 in CIA RPP83B00823E0007000020017pbnent responsible for official foreign travel briefings of staff and contract internal personnel.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 2	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	or this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A	26 Oct 72
Is (are) the reference(s) still i Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes x No of this activity?
The Agency and its employee	es.
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff Iî so, how?	I necessary? Yes X No it is still necessary? Yes X No ficiently or less costly? Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to a lf so, where and why?	for the activity should be transferred another Office? Yes No_X
Can another component do the action More properly? Yes No_X_	ivity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anythin Watergate? Yes No_X In	ng questionable in the light of f so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall A	scope/size or discontinued without Agency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now be important than this one? Yes	ing pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

7	DEVTI	Appro	yed F	ος Rel	ease,	2000/	Q9/
۱	11 + 2 + 1	1-1-CA1	TUN	UP A	1.1.1	/111	

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 3	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) f	for this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A	· 21 Oct 68
Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies The Agency and its employe	s) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more efficiants, how?	ll necessary? Yes X No l it is still necessary? Yes X No fficiently or less costly? Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why?	for the activity should be transferred another Office? YesNo_X
Can another component do the act More properly? Yes No	tivity more efficiently? Less costly? X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anythmatergate? YesNo_X	ing questionable in the light of If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or discontinued without Agency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now be important than this one? Yes	eing pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION For Release 2000/09/02 CIA-RDP83B00823R00070002001771 nent responsible for personal foreign travel briefings.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 4	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) fo	r this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A	* 13 April 66
Is (are) the reference(s) still i Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes X No of this activity?
The Agency and the employee	S
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff Iî so, how?	necessary? Yes X No_ it is still necessary? Yes X No_ iciently or less costly? Yes NoX
Do you feel that responsibility f to another OS component or to a If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? YesNo_X
Can another component do the acti More properly? Yes NoX	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anythin Watergate? Yes No_X If	g questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in s significant effect on overall A If so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now bei important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

OH DDDGG		

ACTIVITY CODE: 1, D, (D), 5	COMPONENT: US/PSI/EAB	
EVALUATION:	DATE:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for 5X1A	this activity? Date(s):	?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?	<u></u>
Office of Security		
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficiency, how?	t is still necessary? Ye	es X No Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be nother Office? Yes	transferred No_X
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No_X	rity more efficiently? Le If so, which component a	ess costly? and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If		ht of
		•
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?		
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you No X If so, what?	feel is more

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1 The Office of Security responsible for making the Office of Security decision concerning the separation in absentia of an Agency employee. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 6 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? This is an administrative procedure to unsure that None. Absentia separation employees return all Agency property and sign Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Secrecy Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agreements Agreements. OS and Office of Personnel Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes XCan the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes NoX Iî so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

If so, how?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No $\frac{X}{X}$ If so, what?

IDENT Approved For Release 2000/09/02	The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security component of Security decision concerning an employee's intent to take outside employment while still an Agency employee.
ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 7	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A	5 Feb 70 25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) st Who is (are) the beneficiary	ill in force? Yes * No (ies) of this activity?
Employees component	
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) Can the activity be done mor If so, how?	still necessary? Yes X No feel it is still necessary? Yes No e efficiently or less costly? Yes X No s administrative component adhere to the on.
Do you feel that responsibil to another OS component or If so, where and why?	ity for the activity should be transferred to another Office? Yes X No
if such employment wil	rative component who should determine 1 effect his performance. activity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Same as above.	
Does the activity include an Watergate? Yes No_X	ything questionable in the light of If so, what?
significant effect on over If so, how?	in scope/size or discontinued without all Agency security? Yes X No
By rewriting the regust only if there is secur activity not no important than this one?	altion - indicating that approval is required by significance. The being pursued by OS that you feel is money the second

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision concerning an employee's intent to engage in a non-Agency training program. COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 8 DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 2 April 1964 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Employees component Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes \overline{X} Ii so, how? By having the employees administrative component adhere to the intent of the regulation. Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes X No If so, where and why? The employees administrative component. If the employee is taking too many courses it could interfere with his Agency Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes x No If so, which component and why? As indicated above, the employee's administrative component has the vested interest in this type of activity. If there is no security significance, the employees component should approve the Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of request. Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No____ If so, how?
By rewriting the regulation - indicating approval is required only if there is security significance. Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
FICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to make public appearances. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 9 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 5 Feb 70 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? OS and Assistant to the Director Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes NoxIî so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
FICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component responsible for making the Office IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to present a manuscript to a publisher for publication. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 10 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 5 Feb 70 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No_____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? OS and Assistant to the Director Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes___ NoX Iī so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No χ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

ID Approved For Release 2000/09/02 CIA-RDP83B00823R009700020017-1 component responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to visit a foreign embassy. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 11 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 1 April 61 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? The Office of Security,, Cover and Commercial Staff, and the employee componenet, and employees. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes x Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDE Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1 The Office of Security component responsible for counseling Agency employees relative to foreign social contacts. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 12 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 1 April 61 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? OS, CCS and Agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? YesX Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____NoX If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No x If so, what?

IDE Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA RDP82B00823R009700029017-Lomponent responsible for counseling Agency employees relative to court appearances.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 13	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
25X1A	-
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)? 2 April 64
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes X No of this activity?
CCS, OS and Agency employees	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi Iî so, how?	t is still necessary? Vos V
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo_X
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No_X	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If s	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

IDE Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
The Office of Security component responsible for counseling Agency employees relative to jury duty.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 14	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)? 2 April 64
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Very v
CCS,OS,OGC and Agency employ	ees
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi Iî so, how?	
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes NoX
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No_X	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

counsels Agency employees relative to questions they may have to answer on applications (school, community organizations) concerning their employment. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 15 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 7 June 71 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? CCS and Agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes NoX Iî so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____No__x_ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDE Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
The Office of Security component that

IDENTIFAPPKQVed For Release 2000/09/02 CIA RPP83B00823R000700020017plnent that counsels Agency employees concerning their Summaries of Agency Employment.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 16	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for the	is activity? Date(s)?
•	30 May 72
Is (are) the reference(s) still in fo Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	rce? Yes X No this activity?
Agency employees and Office of	Security
Do you feel the activity is still nec Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it i Can the activity be done more efficie Iî so, how?	s still necessary? Yes x No
Do you feel that responsibility for t to another OS component or to anoth If so, where and why?	he activity should be transferred er Office? YesNo_X_
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No_X If	more efficiently? Less costly? so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything qu Watergate? YesNo_X_ If so,	estionable in the light of what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope significant effect on overall Agency If so, how?	/size or discontinued without y security? Yes No_x
Is there any activity not now being puring important than this one? Yes l	arsued by OS that you feel is more $X = X$ If so, what?

documents the security file relative to Agency decisions on each individual case. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 17 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 30 May 72 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Office of Personnel Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIPAPPROVED FOR Release 2000/09/02 TICLA RIPESSE00823 R000700020017 Donent that

reviews all retirement actions and

IDENTI Approved For Release 2009/09/02 The RPP838008238000700020017-1 Chat prepares responses to correspondence received by the Agency or the DCI relative to matters under purview of the Office of Security. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 18 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? UNKNOWN Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? O/DCI and other Agency components Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes x In so, how? Index name of correspondent and provide brief description as to type of correspondence. Destroy actual correspondence rather than filing in SRD. Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No If so, how? Review correspondence for threat only. Index as noted above, and destroy. Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No $\frac{X}{X}$ If so, what?

an employee's intent to join a club or organization. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 19 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 2 Mar 65 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No_____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? OS and Agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes \underline{x} No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes \underline{x} No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X Iī so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No____ If so, how? By having the employee submit requests for approval only for those organizations which they feel may be questionable. Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTI Approved For Release 2000/09/02 Trie-RPR 3B00823R000700020017-1

responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to

IDENTIF Approved For Release 2000/09/02 h Clar RPP83B00823R0007700920017 ponent that counsels Agency employees relative to public media contacts.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 20 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
<u>EVALUATION</u> : 25X1A
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 13 July 65
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Assistant to the Director
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X Iî so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? The responsibility for this activity belongs to the Assistant to the DCI, not the Office of Security. EAB acts as a channel for such requests. Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No K If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENT Approved For Release 2000/09/02 CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
The Office of Security component that makes the Office of Security decision relative to an Agency employee's application for Federal Employee Compensation. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 21 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 18 Jan 73 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Keenan xampkanaaa Cover and Commercial Staff Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____No_X Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No_X_ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_x_ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

relative to bestowing an Honor or Merit Award on an employee. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 22 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 10 Dec 73 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No_ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Honor and Merit Awards Board Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENT Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1 The Office of Security component that

makes the Office of Security decision

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b),	23 COMPONENT	: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A		DATE:
What is (are) our reference	e(s) for this activ	ity? Date(s)? 22 Nov 65
Is (are) the reference(s) Who is (are) the beneficia	still in force? ry(ies) of this act:	Yes X No
All Agency personnel		
Do you feel the activity i Do(es) the beneficiary(ies Can the activity be done me II so, how?) feel it is still m	necessary? Yes x No
Do you feel that responsible to another OS component of If so, where and why?	ility for the activior to another Office	ity should be transferred e? YesNo_X
Can another component do the More properly? Yes	ne activity more eff No X If so, whic	ficiently? Less costly? ch component and why?
Does the activity include a Watergate? Yes No	anything questionable X If so, what?	le in the light of
Can this activity be reduce significant effect on ove If so, how?	ed in scope/size or erall Agency securit	discontinued without y? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not me?	now being pursued by Yes No_X If	OS that you feel is more so, what?

makes the Office of Security decision concerning an Agency employee's intent to sponsor an alien. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 24 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 5 Feb 70 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? OS and Agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No XIî so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No χ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____No__x If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENT Approved Gar Belease, 2009/09/02: GAR-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1

TDEXT LANGUAGON, Objeve	TRANSPORT XVING X CONK XXIX XVING XXIX XXIX XVING XXIX ADVISES the relative to activities	of Security Component Oxidixxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	EXXXXX XXXXXXX EXX ittee
ACTIVITY CODE: I, B,	(b), 25 COM	PONENT: OS/PSI/EAB	
EVALUATION:	25X1A	DATE:	
What is (are) our ref	Gerence(s) for this	activity? Date(s)?	
	•	4 Feb 75	
Is (are) the reference Who is (are) the bene	e(s) still in force ficiary(ies) of thi	? Yes X No	
Suggestion Awar	ds Committee	·	
Do you feel the activ Do(es) the beneficiar Can the activity be d II so, how?	y(ies) feel it is s	sary? Yes X No till necessary? Yes Y y or less costly? Yes	X No No X
Do you feel that resp to another OS compo If so, where and wh	nent or to another	activity should be tra Office? Yes No_X	
Can another component More properly? Yes	do the activity mo No X If so	re efficiently? Less, which component and	costly? why?
Does the activity inc Watergate? Yes	lude anything quest: No_X If so, who	ionable in the light og at?	f
Can this activity be a significant effect of If so, how?	reduced in scope/sizon overall Agency se	ze or discontinued with ecurity? Yes No_x	
s there any activity important than this	not now being pursuone? Yes No	ned by OS that you feel X If so, what?	l is more

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that assigns and processes covert site investigations.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 26	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for the	nis activity? Date(s)?
	1 April 61
Is (are) the reference(s) still in fo Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	this activity?
OL/Safehouse Branch	
Do you feel the activity is still need to be beneficiary (ies) feel it can the activity be done more efficient so, how?	is still necessary: its a no
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred her Office? Yes No_X
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes NoX I	y more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything q Watergate? YesNo_X If so	uestionable in the light of , what?
Can this activity be reduced in scop significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	e/size or discontinued without cy security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

of cover. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 27 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 22 Nov 65 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Cover and Commercial Staff Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes. No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes___ No X If so, what?

IDENT Approved for Belease 2000/09/02: 61A-RBP83BQ0823R8QQ7Q092Q01Zolmponent

responsible for giving the Office of Security coordination on use

~	Approved	Ear Dala	~~~~~	20102
TDEVT	Abbrovea	mor Rele	ase <u>a</u> uuun	MILUZ



ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 28 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
22 Nov 65
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
The Agency
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No Iî so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No_X If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes NoX If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

of CIA Investigator Credentials, True/ Alias. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 29 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 22 Nov 65 Hq Manual Sect Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Office of Security Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No x Iī so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No χ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENT Approved for Release 2009/09/02: PIA-RDP83B00823R000700029017oliponent that

makes the Office of Security decision relative to the approval and issuance

I DENT Approved For Belease 300040910:

ACTIVITY CODE:	I, B, (b), 30	COMPONENT: C	OS/PSI/EAB	
EVALUATION:	25X1A		DATE:	
What is (are) o	our reference(s) fo	or this activity?	Date(s)? 22 Nov 65	
Is (are) the re Who is (are) th	ference(s) still i e beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes	<u>x</u> No	
Office of	f Security			
Do(es) the bene	activity is still ficiary(ies) feel y be done more eff	it is still nece	ccarv? Voc v	NoNoX_
Do you feel that to another OS If so, where	t responsibility f component or to a and why?	or the activity s nother Office?	should be transf YesNo_X	erred
Can another comp More properly	oonent do the acti ? Yes No_X	vity more efficie If so, which co	ently? Less cos omponent and why	tly? ?
Does the activit Watergate? Ye	ty include anythingesNo_XIf	g questionable ir so, what?	n the light of	
Can this activit significant ef If so, how?	y be reduced in soffect on overall Ag	cope/size or disc gency security?	continued withou YesNo_X	t
Is there any act important than	ivity not now beir this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS No X If so,	that you feel is what?	s more

IDENT Approxed For Release 2009/99/02



ACTIVITY CODE: I,	B, (b), 31	COMPONENT:	OS/PSI/EAB	
EVALUATION:	25X1A		DATE:	*
What is (are) our	reference(s) for	this activity	?? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the refere Who is (are) the be	ence(s) still in eneficiary(ies) o	force? Ye	22 Nov 65 es_xNo	
Office of Sec	curity			
Do you feel the act Do(es) the benefici Can the activity be Iî so, how?	ary(les) teel it	is still nec	essarv? Yes v	No_X
Do you feel that re to another OS com If so, where and	ponent or to ano	the activity ther Office?	should be transf YesNo_X	erred
Can another compone More properly? Y	nt do the activi esNo_X	ty more effic If so, which	iently? Less cos component and why	tly?
Does the activity in Watergate? Yes	nclude anything o	questionable :	in the light of	ŀ
Can this activity be significant effection. If so, how?	e reduced in scop t on overall Ager	pe/size or dis	scontinued withou YesNo_X	t
Is there any activition important than the	ty not now being is one? Yes	pursued by 08 No X If so	S that you feel is o, what?	s more

I DENT Approved For Belease, 2009/09/02

ACTIVITY CODE	: I, B, (b), 32	COMPONENT:	OS/PSI/EAB	
EVALUATION:	25X1A		DATE:	
What is (are)	our reference(s) for	this activity	y? Date(s)?	
		•	7 April 71	
	reference(s) still in the beneficiary(ies)			
DCI/Sec	curity Staff		·	
Do (oc) the he	the activity is still in eneficiary(ies) feel in rity be done more effic	t ic ctill ned	receary? Yes Y No	-
Do you feel t to another If so, wher	OS component or to an	r the activity other Office?	y should be transferred Yes No_X_	:
Can another c More proper	omponent do the activ	ity more effic If so, which	ciently? Less costly? component and why?	
Does the acti Watergate?	vity include anything YesNo_X If	questionable so, what?	in the light of	
Can this acti significant If so, how?	vity be reduced in sce effect on overall Age	ope/size or di ency security:	iscontinued without ? Yes No <u>X</u>	
Is there any important t	activity not now being han this one? Yes	g pursued by 0 No_X If s	OS that you feel is mor so, what?	·e

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: : CIA-ROP83B00823R90070002001701mponent that makes the Office of Security decision relative to the certification and issuances of CIA Firearms Permit. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 33 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 7 April 71 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No_____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? The Agency Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes. No X Iī so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____No_X_ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No_X If so, how?

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

25X1A-

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA PDP83P00833P000700030017
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 34	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for	or this activity? Date(s)?
	22 Nov. 65
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes X No of this activity?
Cover and Commercial Staff	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff Ir so, how?	it is still necessary! les A No
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to a If so, where and why?	For the activity should be transferred another Office? Yes No_X_
Can another component do the act: More properly? Yes No X	ivity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anythin Watergate? Yes No_X_ I	ng questionable in the light of E so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or discontinued without Agency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now be important than this one? Yes_	ing pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

IDENT Approved For Release 2009/09/02 TICLA RDP83B00823R0007Q0020017m Donent responsible for the processing of OS personnel cover requirements.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 35	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
25X1A	
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
	• 30 Nov 59
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	
Covert OS Personnel	
Do you feel the activity is still a Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficiency, how?	t is still necessary? Yes X No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo_X
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No_X	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No_X If s	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

IDENTApproved For Release 2000/09/02: CAR-RDP83E00823R00070002901701 ponent responsible for giving personnel briefings on Office of Security cover.

_	ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 36 COMPO	ONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
	EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
	What is (are) our reference(s) for this a	activity? Date(s)? 30 Nov 59
	Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this	Yes X No sactivity?
	Covert OS Personnel	
	Do you feel the activity is still necessary Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is stand the activity be done more efficiently Iî so, how?	till necessary? Yes X No
	Do you feel that responsibility for the a to another OS component or to another O If so, where and why?	
	Can another component do the activity more More properly? Yes No X If so,	e efficiently? Less costly? which component and why?
	Does the activity include anything question watergate? Yes No X If so, what	
	Can this activity be reduced in scope/siz significant effect on overall Agency set If so, how?	e or discontinued without curity? Yes No_X_
	Is there any activity not now being pursue important than this one? Yes No	ed by OS that you feel is more X If so, what?

Т	DΕ	VTT A	aal	nove	ed	For	Rele	ase	20 (00/	09	/0	2
,	11			. (– – ,	7 ~	1,77	.[77.0	777		7.	T T	• •	_

ACTIVITY CODE:	I, B, (b), 37	COMPONENT	Γ: OS/PSI/EAB	
EVALUATION:	25X1A		DATE:	
What is (are)	our reference(s)	for this activ	vity? Date(s) 1 Apr 67	?
Is (are) the r Who is (are) t	reference(s) still the beneficiary(ies	in force? s) of this act	Yes X No_	-
Operatin	ng components of th	ne Agency.		
Do(es) the ben	ne activity is still eficiary(ies) feel ty be done more en	l it is still	necessary? Y	es X No Yes NoX
Do you fèel th to another O If so, where	est responsibility OS component or to and why?	for the activanother Office	rity should be ce? Yes	transferred No_x_
Can another co More proper1	mponent do the act y? Yes No	civity more ef	ficiently? L ch component	ess costly? and why?
	ity include anythi Yes No_Xl		ole in the lig	ht of
Can this activ significant If so, how?	ity be reduced in effect on overall	scope/size or Agency securi	discontinued ty? Yes	without No_X
Is there any a important th	ctivity not now be an this one? Yes_	eing pursued b	y OS that you If so, what?	feel is more

IDENT APPROXPE For Release 2009/09/0



ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 38 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 1 Apr 61
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Operating components of the Agency.
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No Iî so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No_X If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No_ X If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No χ If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No_X If so, what?

IDENTAPPROXED For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
The Office of Security component responsible for the procurement of federal personnel records.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 39	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	22 Nov 65 1 force? Yes X No of this activity?
Office of Personnel	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficiency, how?	t is still necessary? Yes x No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No_X
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No X	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? YesNo_X If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Ago If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE:	I, B, (b), 40	COMPONENT:	OS/DST/EAD	
EVALUATION:	25X1A		DATE:	
What is (are) ou	r reference(s) for	this activity?	' Date(s)?	
		•	1 Apr 61	
(arc) chic	erence(s) still in beneficiary(ies) o components of the Ag	t thic comings		
Do you feel the a Do(es) the benefican the activity II so, how?	activity is still n iciary(ies) feel it be done more effic	ecessary? Yes is still nece iently or less	X No ssary? Yes X N costly? Yes	NoX
Do you feel that to another OS o If so, where an	responsibility for component or to anot d why?	the activity sther Office?	should be transfer resNo_X	red
Can another compo More properly?	nent do the activit Yes No_X_ I	y more efficie f so, which co	ently? Less costly mponent and why?	у?
Does the activity Watergate? Yes	include anything q No X If so	uestionable in , what?	the light of	
Can this activity significant effects, how?	be reduced in scopect on overall Agend	e/size or disc cy security?	ontinued without YesNo_X	
Is there any active important than t	rity not now being phis one? Yes	oursued by OS to No X If so,	that you feel is m what?	ore

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 41	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
	22 Nov 65
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes <u>x</u> No of this activity?
Operating components of the	Agency
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi Iî so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes X No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? Yes No_X_
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No_X	rity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No_X If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No_X_
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 CA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1



ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 42	COMPONENT:	OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s)	for this activity	? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still	A in fam. o	22 Nov 65
Who is (are) the reference(s) still who is (are) the beneficiary(is Operating components of	es) of this activit	s_x_ No
Do you feel the activity is str Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) fee Can the activity be done more e Iî so, how?	al it is still noce	V
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why?	for the activity another Office?	should be transferred YesNo_X
Can another component do the ac More properly? Yes No_X	tivity more effici If so, which c	ently? Less costly? omponent and why?
Does the activity include anyth Watergate? Yes No_X	ing questionable i If so, what?	n the light o f
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or disa Agency security?	continued without YesNo_X_
s there any activity not now be important than this one? Yes	eing pursued by OS No_X If so	that you feel is more, what?